What happens with seedlings during spruce budworm outbreaks?

Janie Lavoie¹, Miguel Montoro Girona², Hubert Morin¹ et Guillaume Grosbois²

Université du Québec à Chicoutimi (Canada), ² Groupe de Recherche en Écologie de la MRC-Abitibi (GREMA) – Université du Québec en Abitibi-Témiscamingue (Canada).

1. Introduction

4. Results







affected in black sprucebalsam fir stands than in

Taller seedling are more affected than smaller seedling.

Under clearcutting, no significant role of the distance on seedling defoliation

Under partial cutting, seedlings are exposed to other constraints which may influence the defoliation severity.

20 sites located in the boreal forest of the North Shore region.

3. Methodology



Stand variables Stand type Harvesting methods

Seedling variables Specie Cumulative defoliation Height Spatial location

5. Implications for forest management

We demonstrate an important role of harvesting methods and stands composition on the severity of defoliation for conifer regeneration. Those factors need to be considered in future forest management. We conclude that partial cutting offers a good substitute that protects conifer seedling from defoliation caused by spruce budworm on conifer regeneration.

References

Lavoie, J., M. Montoro Girona and H. Morin. 2019. Vulnerability of conifer regeneration to spruce budworm outbreaks in the eastern Canadian boreal forest. Forests, 10: 850: doi:810.3390/f10100850

Montoro Girona, M., J.-M. Lussier, H. Morin and N. Thiffault. 2018b. Conifer regeneration after experimental shelterwood and seed-tree treatments in boreal forests: Finding sylvicultural alternatives. Frontiers in Plant Science, 9: 1145-1159

For more information

Lavoie, J., Montoro Girona, M., Grosbois, G. and Morin, H. Does the Type of Silvicultural **Practice Influence Spruce Budworm Defoliation of Seedlings?** *Ecosphere* **2021**, *12* (4) DOI: 10.1002/ecs2.3506.



E = Edge RS= Residual strip





Contact : 🕅 janie.lavoie1@uqac.ca ; miguel.montoro@uqat.ca



