Parameterizing Landscape Fire Models From Data: Recent Progress and Remaining Challenges

> Steve Cumming Jean Marchal & Eliot McIntire

11<sup>e</sup> Colloque Annuel du CEF May Day, 2017 Montréal



Natural Resources Canada

Canadian Forest Service Ressources naturelles Canada

Service canadien des forêts



université LAVAL

### Thanks to the following:

Carl Walters and Roy Hilborn Subhash Lele Meg Krawchuk and Cecilia Arienti John Braun David Martell Doug Woolford, Reg Kulperger Thierry Duchesne, Piers-Olivier Tremblay, **Denis Talbot** The Provincial and Territorial Fire Agencies The Canadian Forest Service The Canadian Statistical Sciences Institute **NSRC-CRSNG et Réseau GEOIDE** 





Cumming 11th CEF



"Feedbacks ... and synergies ... are what separate true interactions from one-way forcings such as the effects of drought on wildfire."

Keane et al. 2015. Ecological Modelling 309-310

# Complexity politely ignored

"In a more complex version of the model, fire behaviour is influenced by [everything important]. For the purposes of this paper, we did not apply the complex model so that fires burned independent of age, a common simplifying assumption for studies in boreal forests" (Fall et al. 2004).

This assumption is so far from the truth that it can't form the basis for ecological forecasts.

# A fire regime:

A quantitative description of the fires characteristic of a particular place (Whelan 1994)

- Frequency (rate of occurrence)
- Size
- Cause
- Annual Area Burned = Frequency X Size

### Two components of fire regime

Annual Fire Counts vs Total Area, 1966-2008



#### Number of fires

Size of fires

# **Modelling Objectives**

- Forecast / simulated ecosystem responses to:
  - fire regime,
  - industrial development, and
  - climate change.
- Not individual fires as such.
- The focus in on *populations*
- Hence on parameter estimation.
- Link *distributional* to *simulation* parameters

### Parameters: Frequency

#### Distributional:

- Counts (Poisson)
- Fire size
  - Mean or expectation
  - Parametric model e.g.
    Tapered Pareto

### Simulation:

- Occurrence (Bernoulli)
- Percolation *p* 
  - Calibration
  - Pattern oriented modelling



#### Fire Occurrences 2000-2010 + Vegetation Maps Interpolated Monthly Drought Code

Cumming 11th CEF

 Exploiting Poisson additivity to predict fire frequency from maps of fire weather and land cover in boreal forests of Québec, Canada. Marchal, Cumming and McIntire. Ecography 2016

Poisson rates can be scaled to arbitrary cell sizes and compositions.

For small, homogeneous cells, can be converted to Bernoulli probabilities. 1/100km<sup>2</sup> = 0.0001/ha

Results for human caused fires (not shown) also depended on road density.



### Fire size

"Neutral models" in landscape ecology

Simple fire simulations (one *spread* parameter, *p*)

The theory is opaque to some

No guide to empiricists like me



(5.4) Theorem. Exponential tail decay of the radius of an open cluster. If  $p < p_c$ , there exists  $\psi(p) > 0$  such that

(5.5) 01-05-2017

$$P_p(A_n) < e^{-n\psi(p)}$$
 for all  $n$ .

Cumming 11th CEF

### Parameters: Size

### Distributional:

- Counts (Poisson)
- Fire size
  - Mean or expectation
  - Parametric model e.g.
    Tapered Pareto

### Simulation:

- Occurrence (Bernoulli)
- Percolation *p* 
  - Calibration
  - Pattern oriented modelling

2. Land cover, not monthly fire weather, drives fire sizes in Southern Québec forests: implications for fire risk management. Marchal, Cumming and McIntire. PLOS ONE *in review*.



Schoenberg FP, Peng R, Woods J (2003) On the distribution of wildfire sizes. *Environmetrics* **14**:583–592. Cumming SG (2001) A parametric model of the fire size distribution. *Can J For Res* **31**:1291-1303.

### Pattern Oriented Modelling

- Find the spatial pattern of p that best reproduces the observed fire size given vegetation and fire weather.
- Fit the logistic by simulation/optimisation

   deoptim() calling SpaDES fire simulations
  - objective function based on A-D statistics

$$p_{i,t} = 0.113 + \frac{(0.499 - 0.113)}{\left(1 + \left(\frac{0.153}{\log \beta_{i,t}} + 0.00885 \log \theta_{i,t}\right)^{-7.45}\right)^{1.97}}$$

### Predicted cell-level p, 2010



We don't think anyone has ever done this before

# Negative feedbacks constrain fire regime response to climate change.



# Challenges

- The data come from fire management agencies
- They try to put fires out: but how good are they?
- Recent data are biased; older data are worse.
- Frequency and Size are not the only paramaters of interest;
- Human caused fires are increasing in importance, but are not controlled only by biophysical factors.

