

Using spatial genomics to distinguish drivers of spruce budworm outbreak synchrony

Patrick M. A. James CEF – Montreal – May 1 2017





patrick.ma.james@gmail.com

jameslab.ca

Population cycles are common in forest insect pests





FIG. 2. The area moderately or severely infested by the spruce budworm 1909-1979.

CAN. J. FOR. RES. VOL. 13, 1983



Outbreaks are often spatially synchronous



Two contrasting outbreak hypotheses



(i.e., the Moran effect)

Factors contributing to risk and outbreak spread



Two competing hypotheses...



Objectives

- 1. Assess genetic connectivity (i.e., *effective dispersal*) among populations of SBW in the current outbreak.
- 2. Evaluate the relative support for the **epicentre** and **oscillatory** hypotheses.
- 3. Inform early intervention management strategies.



Inferring dispersal from genetic connectivity

- Gene flow can be used as a proxy to estimate effective dispersal.
- Estimated using spatial variation in genetic markers and derived genetic distances (e.g., *Fst*).
 - Ratio of variance within vs. among sites.
 - We generally expect a decline in genetic similarity with increasing distance (IBD).





- Our ability to use genetics to understand the role of dispersal in outbreaking systems may depend on "outbreak context".
- Indicators of provenance may decay as outbreaks progress.



James et al. 2015 – Molecular Ecology



<u>2012-2015</u>

All sites sampled between 2012 and 2015. Many sites were sampled each year, whereas others were sampled for a single year. Each site can contain larvae, moths, or a combination of both.

Analysis pipeline

- SNP identification using "genotyping by sequencing" (GBS; Elshire *et al.* 2011)
- Data processing :
 - UdeM DNA extraction
 - IBIS / U. Laval) Library preparation
 - McGill Genome Centre Illumina sequencing
 - UdeM Bioinformatics (UNEAK + TASSEL)

Ind.	Site	SEQUEN	ICE		
1	BSL	AAATCO	GTA <mark>C</mark> TCA	AT <mark>C</mark> CTA	TACTT
2	BSL	AAATCO	GTA <mark>G</mark> TCA	ATTCTA	TACAT
3	BSL	AA <mark>T</mark> TCC	GTA <mark>C</mark> TCA	AT <mark>C</mark> CTA	TACAT
•••	•••	···· 🔺			
n	p	T	Τ	Τ	Τ
		SNP	SNP	SNP	SNP



- Clusters identified using **DAPC**
- **2** groups : 2012-2014
- **1** group : 2015
- Weak overall SGS (mean Fst<0.05)
- No spatial pattern in groups
- $N \rightarrow 3 36$; mean= 18



0 75 150 300 450 600

Fst = 0.006



- Clusters identified using **DAPC**
- **2** groups : 2012-2014
- **1** group : 2015
- Weak overall SGS (mean Fst<0.05)
- No spatial pattern in groups
- $N \rightarrow 3 36$; mean= 18



Km

Fst = 0.003



- Clusters identified using **DAPC**
- **2** groups : 2012-2014
- **1** group : 2015
- Weak overall SGS (mean Fst<0.05)
- No spatial pattern in groups
- $N \rightarrow 3 36$; mean= 18



0 75 150 300 450 600

Fst = 0.002



- Clusters identified using **DAPC**
- **2** groups : 2012-2014
- **1** group : 2015
- Weak overall SGS (mean Fst<0.05)
- No spatial pattern in groups
- $N \rightarrow 3 36$; mean= 18







- Clusters identified using **DAPC**
- **2** groups : 2012-2014
- **1** group : 2015
- Weak overall SGS (mean Fst<0.05)
- No spatial pattern in groups
- $N \rightarrow 3 36$; mean= 18





Temporal Genetic Variation: 2012-2015



Log(Geographic Distance)

AMOVA

	Df	SumsOfSqs	MeanSqs	F	R2	Pr(>F)	
YEAR	1	995	994.68	2.74	0.00246	0.001	
SITE	43	19042	442.85	1.22	0.04712	0.001	
YEAR : SITE	12	4984	415.3	1.14	0.01233	0.001	
Residuals	1044	379115	363.14	0.94			
Total	1100	404136	1				

Interpretations

- Lack of strong spatial structure and IBD is confusing.
- Potential legacies of previous outbreak mixed with current epicentric outbreak?
- Results suggest that dispersal may be driving synchrony.
 - BUT It may also depend on timing!
 - Genetics *may* not be able to discern the details at this point in time.
 - Has the signature of epicentric dynamics already been lost ...?





Interpretations +

- H₀ = no connectivity among sites
 - (i.e., oscillatory hypothesis)
- H₁ = some sites are connected
 - (i.e., epicentre)



Next Steps

- Analysis of moths : 2012-2016 (n=~1500).
 - Budworm tracker moths
 - Focused analysis of moths not in local phenological synchrony (putative migrants).
 - How does outbreak development affect our ability to distinguish residents from migrants?



Jeremy Larroque, PDF





- From ~450 traps: 15,464 moths in 2016.
- 75% return rate (...more incoming).
- ~\$60 per kit (mailed out and samples returned).
- http://budwormtracker.ca

Next Steps

- Analysis of L2s from NB, N.S., and Nfld.
 - Are they independent populations, or are they functionally connected to the QC outbreak patch?
 - Paradox of low density populations...
 - Difficult to extract high quality DNA



Jeremy Larroque, PDF



Simon Legault, PhD student

Next Steps



PERIODIC FLUCTUATIONS IN THE NUMBERS OF ANIMALS: THEIR CAUSES AND EFFECTS

By C. S. ELTON.

Dept. of Zoology and Comparative Anatomy, The University Museum, Oxford. Elton CS, 1924. Journal of Experimental Biology.





If the whole population of thousands of individuals arises afresh from a few animals every eleven years, then the genotypic constitution of the species will tend to become comparatively pure.



patrick.ma.james@gmail.com

jameslab.ca

What are the consequences of periodic population dynamics on spatial genetic structure?



FIG 6.—Years of maxima of the Canadian rabbit (varying hare), from fig. 5, marked on the sunspot curve. (Latter from Huntington, 1923.)





