Architecture of Sugar Maple wood components at branching points

Sharad K Baral^{1*}Robert Schneider¹, David Pothier², and Frank Berninger³ ¹Université du Québec à Rimouski, Département de biologie, chimie et géographie (QC), Canada ²Université Laval, Département des sciences du bois et de la forêt, (QC), Canada ³University of Helsinki, Department of Forest Sciences, Helsinki, Finland



Branch basal area (cm²)

Introduction		Methods	Results contd		
If the branching of sugar maple follow:		>A purposive sample of 30 trees was	Total heartwood area at branch base.		
Rauh's Model(1,2).	Leonardo's area preserving rule (3,4)	collected from Duchesnay, Québec	branch length		
		Tree and branch characteristics were	branch slenderness		
K K	~ XIII	measured	Total heartwood area at branch base		
		Disks were collected from the 119 nodes	$=a_0+a_1 \times BL+a_2 \times (BL/BD)$		



do the Then, how wood structure components branch?

Wood structure components

(above and below) and at the branch base

Descriptive statistics of sample							
Tree/branch							
characteristics	Mean	Max	Min				
Tree							
DBH (mm)	383	235	585				
Height (m)	21.2	17.5	25.4				
Age (years)	105	74	143				
Branch							
Basal diameter (mm)	98	10	317				
Length (m)	4.97	0.98	14.3				
Insertion height (m)	14.9	5.1	22				

Variables Estimate SE P-value Adj. R-square Branch length 9.44 1.12 <0.01 (BL, m) 0.41 $(BL/BD (mcm^{-1}))$ -31.87 6.67 < 0.01

 \geq In general, the branches > 35 mm diameter (BBA>10 cm2) started to have discoloration at branch base

>Discolored wood area at branch base increased non-linearly with increasing branch basal area

Sapwood: Functional

Discolored wood: injury initiated

Heartwood: White colored but non-functional





Branch basal area (cm²)

	and the second sec			R5				
	How do these wood components scale?	Disk coll	lection	Me	easurem	ent		
		Results						
		Seemingly unrelated regression: $A_{under node} = a_0 + a_1 \times \Sigma A_{above node}$						
	Leonardo's area preserving rule:	Wood components Cross-sectional	Parameters	Estimate 7.57	P-Value	R-square		
c ² =a ² +b ²	area (CA) Sapwood area	a ₁ a ₀	1.01 (.01) 0.62	<0.01 0.87	0.98			
	Area under node= $\sum_{i=1}^{n} A_i$	(SA) Heartwood area	a ₁ a ₀	1.01 (.01) 7.22	<0.01 0.07	0.38		
	(sum of the basal area of all the branches	(HA) Discolored wood area (DA)	a ₁ a ₀ a ₁	0.98 (.02) 7.04 (3.5) 0.91 (.03)	<0.01 0.04 <0.01	0.74		
	above the node)	For CA, SA a	nd HA: a ₀ :	=0, and a	a ₁ ≈ 1			

Conclusions > Area preservation on branching of total cross-sectional, sapwood and heartwood areas indicate that this is the optimal strategy of sugar maple, a shade tolerant angiosperm, for light capture, mechanical and transport water **support** (5,6). > Discoloration is injury initiated and it needs non-functional wood

to be Thus, discolored expanded. wood might not follow any rule branching highly although correlated it ĪS to heartwood (non-functional wood) area.

Objectives

 \succ To explain sapwood, heartwood and architecture discolored wood at branching points along the main stem of sugar maple trees

►To develop model a to predict discolored wood area at the first order branch base

Acknowledgements

[1] Fonds de recherche du Québec-Nature et technologies (FQRNT) for financial support [2] Filip Havreljuk, Université Laval, support for field work and sampling design [3] CRB Lab, Université Laval for the support on sample processing

For DA: $a_0 \neq 0$, and $a_1 \neq 1$

Positive correlation between discolored wood area and heartwood area at the branch base



sharadbaral@gmail.com

UQAR, Département de Biologie, Chimie et Géographie, Aménagement et sylviculture

≻ In general, commencement OŤ discoloration in branches succeed heartwood formation. Shaded, shorter and thicker branches likely have more heartwood area at branch base. Then, the discoloration increases non-linearly with branch basal area over time.

References

[1] Hallé, F. R. A., Oldeman, A. and Tomlinson, P. B. 1978. Tropical trees and forests: An architectural analysis. Springer-verlag.

[2] Raulier, F., Ung. C. H. and Bégin, J. 1998. Analytical estimation of branchwood volume in Sugar Maple linked to branchiness. Trees 12:395-405

[3] Arastu, R. 1998. Trees conserve cross-sectional area despite vessel structure. Journal of young Investigators 1 (1).

[4] Bentley, L. P., Stegen, J. C., Savage, V. M., Smith, D. D., vonAlmen, A. I., Sperry, J. S., Reich, P. B. and Enquist, B. J. 2013. An empirical assessment of tree branching networks and implications for plant allometric scaling models. Ecology letters 16:1069-1078.

[5] Tomlinson, P. B. 1983. Tree architecture. American Scientists 71: 141-149

[6] Fransworth, K. D. and Niklas, K. J. 1995. Theories of optimization, form and function in branching architecture in plants. Functional Ecology 9 (3):355-363.